arXiv:1702.08270v1 [math.AC] 27 Feb 2017

ON THE MOLECULES OF PUISEUX MONOIDS

FELIX GOTTI AND MARLY GOTTI

Abstract. We say that an element of an additive commutative cancellative monoid is a molecule if it has a unique factorization, i.e., if it can be expressed in a unique way as a sum of irreducibles. In this paper, we study the sets of molecules of Puiseux monoids (additive submonoids of $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$). In particular, we present results on the possible cardinalities of the sets of molecules and the sets of those molecules failing to be irreducibles for numerical semigroups and general Puiseux monoids. We also construct infinitely many non-isomorphic Puiseux monoids all whose molecules are irreducible. Finally, we target Puiseux monoids generated by rationals with single-prime denominators, and provide a characterization for their sets of molecules.

1. Introduction

Let M be a commutative cancellative monoid written additively such that 0 is the only invertible element of M. An element of M is called an *atom* if it cannot be expressed as the sum of two nonzero elements. Let $\mathcal{A}(M)$ denote the set of atoms of M. If $x \in M$ can be expressed as a formal sum of atoms, then such a formal sum (up to permutation) is called a *factorization* of x. If every nonzero element of M has a factorization, M is called *atomic*. Also, if an element $m \in M$ has exactly one factorization we say that m is a *molecule*. Note that every atom is a molecule. The concepts mentioned in this paragraph will be formally introduced in the next two sections.

A *numerical semigroup* is a cofinite submonoid of the additive monoid $\mathbb{N}_0$. Every numerical semigroup N has a unique minimal set of generators, which happens to be the set of atoms of N. In particular, N is atomic and every element $x \in N$ has at least one factorization. On the other hand, if $N \neq \mathbb{N}_0$, then it contains only finitely many molecules; notice, however, that every nonzero element of the additive monoid $\mathbb{N}_0$ is a molecule. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the set of molecules of four members of the family of numerical semigroups.

Date: February 28, 2017.

Key words and phrases. Molecules, Puiseux monoids, numerical semigroups, atomic monoids, unique factorization.



FIGURE 1. The dots on the horizontal line labeled by M_i represent the nonzero elements of the numerical semigroup M_i; here we are setting $M_1 = \langle 2, 21\rangle$, $M_2 = \langle 6, 9, 20\rangle$, $M_3 = \langle 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\rangle$, and $M_4 = \langle 2, 3\rangle$. Atoms are represented in blue, molecules that are not atoms in red, and non-molecules in black.

An additive submonoid of the nonnegative rationals is called a *Puiseux monoid*. Albeit a natural generalization of the family of numerical semigroups, the family of Puiseux monoids contains members having infinitely many atoms and, consequently, infinitely many molecules. In addition, there are Puiseux monoids that are not atomic. Note that if a monoid fails to be atomic, then it contains elements having no factorizations. The relevant concepts associated to numerical semigroups and Puiseux monoids used in this paper are formally introduced in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

A complete characterization of the set of molecules of a general Puiseux monoid turns out to be a very involved task. However, if we specialize our exploration to either numerical semigroups or primary Puiseux monoids, we can certainly describe the set of molecules in a more systematic manner. A Puiseux monoid is *primary* if it can be generated by rationals of the form a/p, where p is a prime and a is a positive integer not divisible by p. Every primary Puiseux monoid is atomic. Here we give a description of the sets of molecules of primary Puiseux monoids.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the necessary background in commutative semigroup and factorization theory is given as an excuse to establish the notation we shall use later. Then, in Section 3 we encourage the study of the distribution of molecules in numerical semigroups, pointing out how molecules are related to Betti elements and stating a realizability problem on the cardinality of the set of those molecules that fails to be atoms. In Section 4, we study the sets of molecules of Puiseux monoids, finding infinitely many non-isomorphic Puiseux monoids all whose molecules being atoms. Finally, we focus on the sets of molecules of primary Puiseux monoids, concluding the paper with a characterization for such sets.

2. Commutative Semigroups and Factorization Theory

In this section we go over the basic nomenclature related to commutative cancellative monoids as well as the main concepts of factorization theory we shall use later. Here we also introduce the necessary terminology concerning numerical semigroups and Puiseux monoids, which are the most important families of commutative monoids we deal with in this paper. For a self-contained approach to the theory of commutative semigroups we suggest [11] of Grillet, and for extensive background on factorization theory the reader might want to consult [7] of Geroldinger and Halter-Koch.

We use the double-struck symbols $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0$ to denote the set of positive integers and the set of nonnegative integers, respectively, while we let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the set of primes. If $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then R_0 and $R_{\ge r}$ denote the sets $R \cup \{0\}$ and $\{x \in R \mid x \ge r\}$, respectively. The symbols $R_{\le r}$, $R_{>r}$, and $R_{<r}$ are use with a similar meaning.

Throughout this paper, we shall tacitly assume that the term *monoid* by itself always refers to a commutative cancellative monoid. Let M be a monoid. As all monoids we will study here are commutative, we will use additive notation. In particular, the operation of M is denoted by "+" and the identity element is denoted by 0. The set $M \setminus \{0\}$ is denoted by $M^\bullet$. For $a, c \in M$, we say that a *divides* c *in* M and write $a \mid_M c$ if $c = a + b$ for some $b \in M$. We write $M = \langle S \rangle$ when M is generated by a set S. The monoid M is *finitely generated* if it can be generated by a finite set. A succinct exposition of finitely generated commutative monoids can be found in [4] by García-Sánchez and Rosales.

The set of units of M is denoted by $M^\times$, and M is said to be *reduced* if $M^\times$ contains only the identity element. All monoids showing up in this paper are reduced.

Definition 2.1. An element $a \in M \setminus M^\times$ is an *atom* (or *irreducible*) if, for all $u, v \in M$ the fact that $a = u + v$ implies that either $u \in M^\times$ or $v \in M^\times$. Let $\mathcal{A}(M)$ denote the set of all atoms of M, and call M *atomic* if $M = \langle \mathcal{A}(M) \rangle$.

If M is reduced, the *factorization monoid* $\mathsf{Z}(M)$ of M is defined to be the free abelian monoid on $\mathcal{A}(M)$. We write the atoms of M in boldface print when they are being considered as the generators of $\mathsf{Z}(M)$. The elements of $\mathsf{Z}(M)$, which are formal sum of atoms, are called *factorizations*. If for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}(M)$, we have $z = \mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathsf{Z}(M)$, then we say that the factorization z has *length* n; we denote the length of z by $|z|$. As $\mathsf{Z}(M)$ is free on $\mathcal{A}(M)$, there is a unique homomorphism

$$\phi \colon \mathsf{Z}(M) \to M$$

such that $\phi(\mathbf{a}) = a$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}(M)$; for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ this homomorphism satisfies that $\phi(\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_n) = a_1 + \cdots + a_n$. We call ϕ the *factorization homomorphism* of M. For $x \in M$, the sets

$$\mathsf{Z}(x) = \phi^{-1}(x) \subseteq \mathsf{Z}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{L}(x) = \{|z| : z \in \mathsf{Z}(x)\}$$

are called the *set of factorizations* and the *set of lengths* of x, respectively. By definition, we set $\mathsf{Z}(0) = \{0\}$. It immediately follows that the monoid M is atomic if and only if $\mathsf{Z}(x)$ is not empty for all $x \in M$.

The main goal of this paper is to explore the set of elements with unique factorization of Puiseux monoids.

Definition 2.2. Let M be a monoid. An element $m \in M \setminus M^\times$ is called a *molecule* if $|\mathsf{Z}(m)| = 1$. The set of all molecules of M is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(M)$.

The set of atoms of any monoid is contained in the set of molecules; however, such an inclusion might be proper; consider, for instance, the additive monoid $\mathbb{N}_0$. In addition, for any atomic monoid M the set $\mathcal{M}(M)$ is *divisor-closed*, i.e., if $m \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ and $m' \mid_M m$, then $m' \in \mathcal{M}(M)$. If the atomicity condition is dropped, this observation is not necessarily true (see Example 4.3).

3. Molecules of Numerical Semigroups

Recall that a molecule is an element of a monoid having unique factorization. In this section we explore the distribution of molecules in numerical semigroups.

Definition 3.1. A *numerical semigroup* is a cofinite additive submonoid of $\mathbb{N}_0$.

Every numerical semigroup has a unique minimal set of generators, which is finite. Let N be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by the positive integers $a_1, \dots, a_n$ (we always assume $a_1 < \cdots < a_n$). Then $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$ and $\mathcal{A}(N) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Every numerical semigroup is atomic. The set $\mathcal{G}(N) = \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus N$ is called the *set of gaps* of N and $\mathsf{F}(N) = \max \mathcal{G}(N)$ is called the *Frobenius number* of N. See [5] for a smooth approach to the theory of numerical semigroups.

Example 3.2. For $k \geq 1$, consider the numerical semigroup $M_1 = \langle 2, 21 \rangle$, whose molecules are depicted in Figure 1. It is easy to see that $x \in M_1^\bullet$ is a molecule if and only if we can factor x using copies of 2 and at most one copy of 21. Therefore

$$\mathcal{M}(N) = \big\{2m + 21n \mid 0 \leq m < 21, n \in \{0, 1\}, \text{ and } mn \neq 0\big\}.$$

The next proposition generalizes the previous example.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $N = \langle p, q \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup with embedding dimension 2. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}(N) = \{mp + nq \mid 0 \leq m < q,\ 0 \leq n < p, \text{ and } mn \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Assume $p < q$, and set $M = \{mp + nq \mid m < q \text{ and } n < q\}$. Let $x \in N$ be a molecule of N. As $|\mathsf{Z}(x)| = 1$, the unique factorization $z = (z_1, z_2)$ of x satisfies $z_1 < q$; otherwise we could exchange q copies of the atom p by p copies of the atom q to obtain a second factorization of x. A similar argument ensures that $z_2 < p$. Thus, $\mathcal{M}(N) \subseteq M$. On the other hand, if $mp + nq = m'p + n'q$ for some $m, m', n, n' \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

the fact that $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ forces that either $q \mid m - m'$ or $p \mid n - n'$. Because of this observation, $(q-1)p+(p-1)q$ has a unique factorization, namely $(q-1, p-1)$. Since $(q-1)p + (p-1)q$ is a molecule satisfying that $m \mid_N (q-1)p + (p-1)q$ for every $m \in M$, the inclusion $M \subseteq \mathcal{M}(N)$ holds, which concludes the proof. □

Let $N = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ be a minimally generated numerical semigroup. We shall always represent an element of $\mathsf{Z}(N)$ with an n-tuple $z = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, where c_i specifies the number of copies of a_i showing up in z. Note that $|z| = c_1 + \cdots + c_n$. Given factorizations $z = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ and $z' = (c_1', \dots, c_n')$, we define

$$\gcd(z, z') = (\min\{c_1, c_1'\}, \dots, \min\{c_n, c_n'\}).$$

In a numerical semigroup the concept of molecule is closed related to that one of *Betti element*, which we are about to define. For a numerical semigroup N and $x \in N$, the *factorization graph* of x, denoted by $\nabla_x(N)$ (or just ∇_x when no risk of confusion exists), is the graph with vertices $\mathsf{Z}(x)$ and edges between those $z, z' \in \mathsf{Z}(x)$ satisfying that $\gcd(z, z') \neq 0$.

Definition 3.4. An element x in a numerical semigroup N is a *Betti element* if ∇_x is disconnected. The set of Betti elements of N is denoted by $\mathrm{Betti}(N)$.

Example 3.5. Take N to be the numerical semigroup $\langle 14, 16, 18, 21, 45 \rangle$. A computation in SAGE using the `numericalsgps GAP` package immediately reveals that N has nine Betti elements. In particular, $90 \in \mathrm{Betti}(N)$. Below we can see the disconnected factorization graph of the Betti element 90 on the left and the connected factorization graph of the non-Betti element 84 on the right.



FIGURE 2. The factorization graphs of $90 \in \mathrm{Betti}(N)$ and $84 \notin \mathrm{Betti}(N)$.

Observe that $0 \notin \text{Betti}(N)$ since $|\mathsf{Z}(0)| = 1$. It is well-known that every numerical semigroup has finitely many Betti elements. Betti elements play a fundamental role in the study of uniquely-presented numerical semigroups [3] and the study of *delta sets* of BF-monoids [1]. For the more general notion of Betti element, meaning the *syzygies* of an $\mathbb{N}^n$-graded module, see [12].

Lemma 3.6. *Let N be a numerical semigroup. An element $m \in N$ is a molecule if and only if $\beta \nmid_N m$ for any $\beta \in \text{Betti}(N)$.*

Proof. For the direct implication, suppose that m is a molecule of N and take $\alpha \in N$ such that $\alpha \mid_N m$. As the set of molecules are closed under division, $|\mathsf{Z}(\alpha)| = 1$. This implies that ∇_α is connected and, therefore, α cannot be a Betti element.

The reverse implication is just a rephrasing of [3, Lemma 1]. □

For each natural n there exists a numerical semigroup having exactly n atoms. The next proposition answers the same realizability question replacing the concept of atom for that one of molecule.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a numerical semigroup N satisfying that $|\mathcal{M}(N)| = n$ if and only if $n \geq 4$.*

Proof. Suppose first that N is a numerical semigroup containing exactly n molecules. As the only numerical semigroup having a unique atom is $\mathbb{N}_0$, which has infinitely many molecules, we obtain $|\mathcal{A}(N)| \geq 2$. Denote by a and b the two smallest atoms of N, and assume that $a < b$. Note that $2a$ and $a + b$ are distinct molecules that are not atoms. Hence $n = |\mathcal{M}(N)| \geq 4$.

For the reverse implication, suppose that $n \geq 4$, and let us find a numerical semigroup N having exactly n molecules. For $n = 4$, take the numerical semigroup $\langle 2, 3\rangle$ (see Figure 1). Let us assume then that $n > 4$, and consider the numerical semigroup

$$N = \big\langle n-2, n-1, \ldots, 2(n-2)-1 \big\rangle.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{A}(N) = \{n-2, n-1, \ldots, 2(n-2)-1\}$. In addition, it is not hard to see that $2(n-2), 2(n-2)+1 \in \mathcal{M}(N)$ while $k \notin \mathcal{M}(N)$ for every $k > 2(n-2)+1$. Consequently, $\mathcal{M}(N) = \mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{2(n-2), 2(n-2)+1\}$, which implies that $|\mathcal{M}(N)| = n$, as desired. □

For a numerical semigroup N, it easily follows that $|\mathcal{M}(N) \setminus \mathcal{A}(N)| \geq 2$ (see Figure 1). Let us record this observation for future reference.

Remark 3.8. If N is a numerical semigroup, then $|\mathcal{M}(N) \setminus \mathcal{A}(N)| \geq 2$.

The inequality given in the above remark cannot be improved in the general case. The next example sheds some light upon this.

Example 3.9. For $n \geq 3$, the numerical semigroup $N = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}_{\geq n}$ is minimally generated by $\mathcal{A}(N) = \{n, n+1, \dots, 2n-1\}$. In addition, both $2n$ and $2n+1$ are molecules of N. It is not hard to see that $|\mathsf{Z}(x)| \geq 2$ for every $x > 2n+1$. As a result,, $|\mathcal{M}(N) \setminus \mathcal{A}(N)| = 2$ (see, e.g., M_3 in Figure 1).

We conclude this section with the next realizability question.

Question 3.10. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$, can we find a numerical semigroup N such that $|\mathcal{M}(N) \setminus \mathcal{A}(N)| = n$?

4. Molecules of Puiseux Monoids

In this section we shall explore the set of molecules of a general Puiseux monoid. In contrast to the case of numerical semigroups, we will show that there are plenty of non-isomorphic Puiseux monoids all whose molecules are, indeed, atoms.

Definition 4.1. A *Puiseux monoid* is an additive submonoid of $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

In particular, a numerical semigroup is a Puiseux monoid. Even though Puiseux monoids are a natural generalization of numerical semigroups, the formers are not necessarily atomic. However, many families of atomic Puiseux monoids have been found and studied; see [9] and [10].

If $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, then the unique $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q = a/b$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ are denoted by $\mathsf{n}(q)$ and $\mathsf{d}(q)$, respectively. For $Q \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, the sets

$$\mathsf{n}(Q) = \{\mathsf{n}(q) \mid q \in Q\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{d}(Q) = \{\mathsf{d}(q) \mid q \in Q\}$$

are called *numerator* and *denominator set* of Q, respectively. For a prime p, the *p-adic valuation* on $\mathbb{Q}$ is the map defined by $\mathsf{v}_p(0) = \infty$ and $\mathsf{v}_p(r) = \mathsf{v}_p(\mathsf{n}(r)) - \mathsf{v}_p(\mathsf{d}(r))$ for $r \neq 0$, where for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the value $\mathsf{v}_p(n)$ is the exponent of the maximal power of p dividing n. It can be easily seen that the p-adic valuation satisfies

$$\mathsf{v}_p(r_1 + \cdots + r_n) \geq \min\{\mathsf{v}_p(r_1), \dots, \mathsf{v}_p(r_n)\}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. A Puiseux monoid P is *finite* if there exists a finite subset of primes S such that $\mathsf{v}_p(r) \geq 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S$ and $r \in P^\bullet$.

Definition 4.2. Let S be a nonempty set of primes. A Puiseux monoid P is *primary over* S if there exists a set of positive rationals R such that $P = \langle R \rangle$, $\mathsf{d}(R) = S$, and $\mathsf{d}(r) = \mathsf{d}(r')$ implies $r = r'$ for all $r, r' \in R$.

Within the scope of this paper, the term *primary monoid* refers to a Puiseux monoid that is primary over some nonempty set of primes. Let us remark that if a Puiseux monoid P is primary, then there exists a unique $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that P is primary over S. For a subset S of primes, we call the monoid

$$E_S := \left\langle \frac{1}{p} \;\middle|\; p \in S \right\rangle$$

the *elementary* primary [Puiseux] monoid over S; if $S = \mathbb{P}$ we say that E_S is *the* elementary primary [Puiseux] monoid. A monoid is *hereditarily atomic* if all its submonoids happen to be atomic. A hereditarily atomic Puiseux monoid is, in particular, atomic. Notice that if a monoid does not have 0 as a limit point, then it is straightforwardly hereditarily atomic. It was proved in [10, Section 5] that the elementary primary monoid is hereditarily atomic. This implies that all primary monoids are hereditarily atomic as every primary monoid is contained in the elementary primary monoid.

At the end of Section 2 we mentioned that the set of molecules of an atomic monoid is divisor-closed. The next example indicates that this property might not hold for non-atomic monoids.

Example 4.3. Consider the following Puiseux monoid

$$P = \left\langle \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{2^n} \;\middle|\; n \in \mathbb{N} \right\rangle.$$

After a few elementary verifications, one can see that $\mathcal{A}(P) = \{2/5, 3/5\}$ and also that $\mathsf{Z}(1)$ contains only one factorization, namely $\mathbf{2/5} + \mathbf{3/5}$. Hence $1 \in \mathcal{M}(P)$. On the other hand, $1/2 \notin \mathcal{M}(P)$ because $\mathsf{Z}(1/2)$ is empty. However, $1/2$ divides the molecule 1 in P.

Although the additive monoid $\mathbb{N}_0$ contains only one atom, it has infinitely many molecules. The next result implies that this is basically the only atomic Puiseux monoid having finitely many atoms and infinitely many molecules.

Proposition 4.4. *Let P be a Puiseux monoid. Then $|\mathcal{M}(P)| \in \mathbb{N}_{\ge 2}$ if and only if $|\mathcal{A}(P)| \in \mathbb{N}_{\ge 2}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $|\mathcal{M}(P)| \in \mathbb{N}_{\ge 2}$. As every atom is a molecule, $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is a finite set. Furthermore, note that if $\mathcal{A}(P) = \{a\}$, then every element of the set $S = \{na \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ would be a molecule, which is not possible as $|S| = \infty$. As a result, $|\mathcal{A}(P)| \in \mathbb{N}_{\ge 2}$. Conversely, suppose that $|\mathcal{A}(P)| \in \mathbb{N}_{\ge 2}$. Since the elements in $P \setminus \langle \mathcal{A}(P) \rangle$ have no factorizations, $\mathcal{M}(P) = \mathcal{M}(\langle \mathcal{A}(P) \rangle)$. Therefore there is no loss in assuming that P is atomic. As $1 < |\mathcal{A}(P)| < \infty$, the monoid P is isomorphic to a nontrivial numerical semigroup. Because every nontrivial numerical semigroup has finitely many molecules, the proof follows by Remark 3.8. □

To complement Proposition 4.4, observe that $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is empty if and only if $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is empty. Also, we just saw in the above proof that if $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is a singleton, then $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is infinite. Finally, the fact that $\mathcal{A}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(P)$, along with the previous sentence, justifies the next observation.

Remark 4.5. There is no Puiseux monoid containing exactly one molecule.

In contrast to the case of numerical semigroups, whose sets of atoms are always strictly contained in their sets of molecules, there are plenty of Puiseux monoids P satisfying that $\mathcal{M}(P) = \mathcal{A}(P)$. To be able to formalize this statement, let us first describe the isomorphisms of Puiseux monoids.

Proposition 4.6. *The homomorphisms of Puiseux monoids are precisely those given by rational multiplication.*

Proof. It follows immediately that if the map $P \to P'$ between two Puiseux monoids is multiplication by a rational number, then it is a monoid homomorphism. Let us check that those are the only homomorphisms of Puiseux monoids. To do this, consider the Puiseux monoid homomorphism $\varphi\colon P \to P'$. As the trivial homomorphism is multiplication by 0, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $P \neq \{0\}$. Let $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ be a minimal generating set for the additive monoid $N = P \cap \mathbb{N}_0$; note that $k \geq 1$ because $N \neq 0$. Since φ is nontrivial, $\varphi(n_j) \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$. Take $q = \varphi(n_j)/n_j$. For $r \in P^\bullet$ and $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfying that $\mathsf{n}(r) = c_1 n_1 + \cdots + c_k n_k$, the fact that $n_i \varphi(n_j) = \varphi(n_i n_j) = n_j \varphi(n_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ implies that

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{d}(r)} \varphi(\mathsf{n}(r)) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{d}(r)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \varphi(n_i) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{d}(r)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i n_i \frac{\varphi(n_j)}{n_j} = rq.$$

Consequently, the homomorphism φ is multiplication by $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, and the proof follows. □

Let S be a set of primes, and let $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. We denote by $\mathsf{D}_S(r)$ the set of primes $p \in S$ dividing $\mathsf{d}(r)$. Besides, if $R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, then we set $\mathsf{D}_S(R) = \cup_{r \in R} \mathsf{D}_S(r)$.

Theorem 4.7. (cf. Remark 3.8) *There are infinitely many non-isomorphic Puiseux monoids P satisfying $\mathcal{M}(P) = \mathcal{A}(P)$.*

Proof. Consider the collection $\mathcal{S} = \{S_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of infinite and pairwise-disjoint sets of prime numbers. Now take $S = S_i$ for some arbitrary $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $p_1, p_2, \dots$ be an increasing enumeration of the elements of S. We proceed to construct a Puiseux monoid P_S satisfying that $\mathsf{D}_S(P_S) = S$.

Let P_1 be the Puiseux monoid generated by $1/p_1$, and then let us take $P_2 = \langle P_1, 2/(p_1 p_2) \rangle$. In general, suppose P_k is a finitely generated Puiseux monoid such that $\mathsf{D}_S(P_k) \subset S$, and let $r_1, \dots, r_{n_k}$ be all the elements in P_k which can be written as the sum of two atoms. Now take $p'_1, \dots, p'_{n_k}$ to be the n_k smallest primes in $S \setminus \mathsf{D}_S(P_k)$ and define the Puiseux monoid

$$P_{k+1} = \left\langle P_k, \frac{r_1}{p'_1}, \dots, \frac{r_{n_k}}{p'_{n_k}} \right\rangle.$$

For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n_k\}$, there is only one element of $P_k \cup \{r_1/p'_1, \dots, r_{n_k}/p'_{n_k}\}$ whose denominator is divisible by p_i, namely r_i/p'_i; therefore $r_i/p_i \in \mathcal{A}(P_{k+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n_k$.

To check that $\mathcal{A}(P_k) \subset \mathcal{A}(P_{k+1})$, suppose that $a \in \mathcal{A}(P_k)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \mathbf{a_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \beta_i \frac{\mathbf{r_i}}{\mathbf{p_i'}} \in \mathsf{Z}_{P_{k+1}}(a), \tag{4.1}$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}(P_k)$ and α_i, β_j are nonnegative coefficients. For each $i = 1, \dots, n_k$ we can apply the p_i'-adic valuation map to (4.1) to find that each p_i' must divide β_i. Since every r_i is the sum of two atoms in P_k and every $(\beta_i/p_i')r_i$ divides the atom a, one obtains that $\beta_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n_k$. Then the fact that $a \in \mathcal{A}(P_k)$ immediately implies that $a \in \mathcal{A}(P_{k+1})$. Now set $P_S = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P_k$.

Let us verify now that the P_S is an atomic monoid satisfying that $\mathcal{A}(P_S) = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(P_k)$. The infinite chain of containments $\mathcal{A}(P_1) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(P_2) \subseteq \dots$ immediately implies that $\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(P_k) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(P_S)$; this ensures, in particular, that P_S is atomic. On the other hand, since each atom $a \in \mathcal{A}(P_S)$ belongs to some P_k and $P_k \subset P_S$, the inclusion $\mathcal{A}(P_S) \cap P_k \subseteq \mathcal{A}(P_k)$ yields $\mathcal{A}(P_S) \subseteq \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(P_k)$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}(P_S) = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(P_k)$.

To check that $\mathcal{M}(P_S) = \mathcal{A}(P_S)$, suppose that m is a molecule of P_S. This implies that $m \in \mathcal{M}(P_k)$ for every natural k (as $\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(P_k) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(P_S)$). Take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \in P_k$. Let $\mathbf{a_1} + \dots + \mathbf{a_j} \in \mathsf{Z}_{P_k}(m)$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. If j were greater than 1, then $|\mathsf{Z}_{P_{k+1}}(m)| \geq 2$ because the way we constructed P_{k+1} guarantees that $a_1 + a_2 \notin \mathcal{M}(P_{k+1})$; however, $|\mathsf{Z}_{P_{k+1}}(m)| \geq 2$ is not possible since $m \in \mathcal{M}(P_{k+1})$. Hence $j = 1$, which means that $m \in \mathcal{A}(P_k) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(P_S)$. As every atom is a molecule, we can conclude that $\mathcal{M}(P_S) = \mathcal{A}(P_S)$.

Finally, notice that the Puiseux monoids in $\{P_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ are all pairwise non-isomorphic; this is because the only Puiseux monoid isomorphisms are those given by rational multiplication (Proposition 4.6), and a rational multiplication map only produces finitely many new prime denominators. As a consequence, there exist infinitely many non-isomorphic Puiseux monoids P satisfying $\mathcal{M}(P) = \mathcal{A}(P)$. □

5. Molecules of Primary Monoids

The main goal in this last section is to provide a characterization for the set of molecules of an arbitrary primary monoid. Before focusing on this task, let us record the fact that every primary monoid that is not isomorphic to a numerical semigroup contains infinitely many molecules that are not atoms (cf. Theorem 4.7).

Remark 5.1. If P is a primary monoid over an infinite set of primes, then $\mathcal{M}(P) \backslash \mathcal{A}(P)$ contains infinitely many elements.

To argue the above remark, it suffices to verify that $2a$ is a molecule of P for every $a \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ satisfying that $\mathsf{d}(a) \neq 2$. This immediately follows by taking $\mathsf{d}(a)$-valuation in $2a = \pi(z)$ for some $z \in \mathsf{Z}(2a)$.

Theorem 4.7 and Remark 5.1 guarantee the existence of Puiseux monoids P satisfying $|\mathcal{M}(P) \backslash \mathcal{A}(P)| = 0$ and $|\mathcal{M}(P) \backslash \mathcal{A}(P)| = \infty$, respectively. Once again the next question suggests itself.

Question 5.2. (cf. Question 3.10) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, can we find a Puiseux monoid P satisfying that $|\mathcal{M}(P) \setminus \mathcal{A}(P)| = n$?

Let us proceed to introduce several equivalent characterizations for the molecules of the elementary primary monoid. One of such characterizations is based on the concept of maximal multiplicity. For $x \in P$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ we define the *maximal multiplicity* of a in x to be

$$\mathsf{m}(a, x) := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : na \mid_P x\}.$$

Proposition 5.3. *Let S be an infinite set of primes, and let E_S be the elementary primary monoid over S. For an element $x \in P$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $x \in \mathcal{M}(E_S)$;
(2) 1 *does not divide* x *in* E_S;
(3) $\mathsf{m}(a, x) < \mathsf{d}(a)$ *for all* $a \in \mathcal{A}(E_S)$;
(4) *If for some* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $p_1, \dots, p_n \in S$ *such that* $p_1 < \cdots < p_n$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \frac{1}{p_j} \in \mathsf{Z}(x),$$

then $\alpha_j < p_j$ *for every* $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. As S contains infinitely many elements, $|\mathsf{Z}(1)| = \infty$. Since 1 is not a molecule of E_S and the set of molecules is closed under division, 1 cannot divide any element of $\mathcal{M}(E_S)$. Thus, (1) implies (2). If $\mathsf{m}(1/p, x) \geq p$ for some prime $p \in S$, then

$$x = \mathsf{m}(1/p, x)\frac{1}{p} + y = 1 + (\mathsf{m}(1/p, x) - p)\frac{1}{p} + y$$

for some $y \in E_S$, which would imply that $1 \mid_{E_S} x$. Therefore (2) implies (3). It is obvious that (3) and (4) are equivalent. Finally, it is easy to see that if

$$\alpha_1 \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{p_1}} + \cdots + \alpha_k \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{p_k}}, \ \beta_1 \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{p_1}} + \cdots + \beta_k \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{p_k}} \in \mathsf{Z}(x),$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, then $p_i \mid \beta_i - \alpha_i$. If $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$, say $\alpha_i < \beta_i$, for some i, then we would have $\mathsf{m}(1/p_i, x) \geq \beta_i > p_i = \mathsf{d}(1/p_i)$, contradicting (3). Hence $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, yielding that (3) implies (1). □

Corollary 5.4. *Let S be an infinite set of primes, and let E_S be the elementary primary monoid over S. Then $|\mathsf{Z}(x)| = \infty$ for all $x \notin \mathcal{M}(P)$.*

In order to describe the set of molecules of an arbitrary primary Puiseux monoid, we need to cast its atoms in two categories.

Definition 5.5. Let P be a primary monoid. We say that $a \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ is *stable* if the set $\{x \in \mathcal{A}(P) \mid \mathsf{n}(x) = \mathsf{n}(a)\}$ is infinite, otherwise we say that a is *unstable*. If each atom of P is stable (resp., unstable), then we call P *stable* (resp., *unstable*).

Let $\mathcal{S}(P)$ denote the submonoid of P generated by the set of stable atoms. Similarly, we denote by $\mathcal{U}(P)$ the submonoid of P generated by all the unstable atoms. Note that P is stable (resp., unstable) if and only if $\mathcal{S}(P) = P$ (resp., $\mathcal{U}(P) = P$). In addition, $P = \mathcal{S}(P) + \mathcal{U}(P)$, and $\mathcal{S}(P) \cap \mathcal{U}(P)$ is trivial only when either $\mathcal{S}(P)$ or $\mathcal{U}(P)$ is trivial.

Let P be a primary Puiseux monoid. If $a \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, it can easily be checked that ma is a molecule provided that $m < \mathsf{d}(a)$. This observation is generalized by the next proposition, which in turns generalizes two of the equivalences in Proposition 5.3.

Proposition 5.6. *Let P be a primary monoid. If $x \in P$ satisfies that $\mathsf{m}(a, x) < \mathsf{d}(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}(P)$, then x is a molecule.*

Proof. Take $x \in P$ such that $\mathsf{m}(a, x) < \mathsf{d}(a)$ for each atom a in P, and suppose that we have two factorizations of x,

$$z = \alpha_1 \mathbf{a_1} + \cdots + \alpha_k \mathbf{a_k},\ z' = \beta_1 \mathbf{a_1} + \cdots + \beta_k \mathbf{a_k} \in \mathsf{Z}(x),$$

for some natural k and nonnegative coefficients α_i, β_i with $i = 1, \ldots, k$. If $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$, say $\alpha_i < \beta_i$, for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, applying $\mathsf{d}(a_i)$-adic valuation to $\pi(z) = \pi(z')$ we would obtain $\mathsf{d}(a_i) \mid \beta_i - \alpha_i$ and, therefore, $\mathsf{d}(a_i) \le \beta_i \le \mathsf{m}(a_i, x)$, a contradiction. Thus, $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and, as a consequence, $x \in \mathcal{M}(P)$. □

The next proposition gives a necessary and sufficient condition for an element of a stable primary monoid to be a molecule.

Proposition 5.7. *Let P be a primary monoid such that $\mathcal{S}(P) = P$. Then $x \in \mathcal{M}(P)$ if and only if $\mathsf{n}(a)$ does not divide x in P for any $a \in \mathcal{A}(P)$.*

Proof. Assume first that x is a molecule, and suppose, by way of contradiction, that $\mathsf{n}(a) \mid_P x$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}(P)$. Let p_1 and p_2 be two distinct primes such that $\mathsf{n}(a)/p_1$ and $\mathsf{n}(a)/p_2$ are two atoms of P; such primes exist because a is a stable atom. Taking $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ such that $x = \mathsf{n}(a) + a_1 + \cdots + a_k$, one finds that

$$p_1 \frac{\mathsf{n}(\mathbf{a})}{\mathbf{p_1}} + \mathbf{a_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{a_k} \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 \frac{\mathsf{n}(\mathbf{a})}{\mathbf{p_2}} + \mathbf{a_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{a_k}$$

are two distinct factorizations of x, contradicting that x is a molecule. Conversely, suppose that x is not a molecule. Consider

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \mathbf{a_i},\ z' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i \mathbf{a_i} \in \mathsf{Z}(x),$$

for some distinct k-tuples $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k)$ of nonnegative integers. If $\alpha_j < \beta_j$, then taking $\mathsf{d}(a_j)$-valuations in both sides of $\pi(z) = \pi(z')$, we see that the prime $\mathsf{d}(a_j)$ divides $\beta_j - \alpha_j$. Therefore $\beta_j > \mathsf{d}(a_j)$ and so

$$x = \mathsf{n}(a_j) + (\beta_j - \mathsf{d}(a_j))a_j + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i a_i.$$

Hence $\mathsf{n}(a_j) \mid_P x$, which concludes the proof. □

Observe that the reverse implication of Proposition 5.7 does not require $\mathcal{S}(P) = P$. However, the stability of P is required for the direct implication to hold as the following example illustrates.

Example 5.8. Let $p_1, p_2, \dots$ be an increasing enumeration of the odd primes, and consider the unstable primary monoid

$$P = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}, \frac{p_n^2 - 1}{p_n} \;\middle|\; n \in \mathbb{N} \right\rangle.$$

As the smallest two atoms of P are $1/2$ and $8/3$, it immediately follows that $m = 2(1/2) + 8/3 \notin \langle 1/2 \rangle$ must be a molecule of P. In addition, notice that $1 = \mathsf{d}(1/2)$ divides m in P.

We say that $u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$ is *absolutely unstable* if u is not divisible by any stable atom in P. Let $\mathcal{U}^a(P) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(P)$ denote the set of all absolutely unstable atoms of P. We are now in a position to give a characterization of the molecules of a general primary monoid.

Theorem 5.9. *Let P be a primary monoid. Then $x \in P$ is a molecule if and only if $x = s + u$ for some $s \in \mathcal{S}(P) \cap \mathcal{M}(P)$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}^a(P) \cap \mathcal{M}(P)$.*

Proof. First, suppose that x is a molecule. As $P = \mathcal{S}(P) + \mathcal{U}(P)$, there exist $s \in \mathcal{S}(P)$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$ such that $x = s + u$. The fact that $x \in \mathcal{M}(P)$ guarantees that $s, u \in \mathcal{M}(P)$. On the other hand, since $|\mathsf{Z}(u)| = 1$ and u can be factored using only unstable atoms, u cannot be divisible by any stable atom in P. Thus, $u \in \mathcal{U}^a(P)$, and the forward implication follows.

For the reverse implication, assume that $x = s + u$, where $s \in \mathcal{S}(P) \cap \mathcal{M}(P)$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}^a(P) \cap \mathcal{M}(P)$. Let us first check that x can be uniquely expressed a sum of an element of $\mathcal{S}(P) \cap \mathcal{M}(P)$ and $\mathcal{U}(P) \cap \mathcal{M}(P)$, meaning $x = s + u$. To do this, suppose that $x = s' + u'$, where $s \in \mathcal{S}(P)$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(P)$. Take stable atoms $a_1, \dots, a_k$ of P such that $z = \alpha_1 \mathbf{a_1} + \cdots + \alpha_k \mathbf{a_k} \in \mathsf{Z}(s)$ and $z' = \beta_1 \mathbf{a_1} + \cdots + \beta_k \mathbf{a_k} \in \mathsf{Z}(s')$ for the k-tuples of nonnegative integers $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ and $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$. For each $j = 1, \dots, k$ we can take $\mathsf{d}(a_j)$-valuation in both sides of

$$u + \pi(z) = u' + \pi(z')$$

to conclude that the prime $\mathsf{d}(a_j)$ must divide $\beta_j - \alpha_j$. Therefore $\alpha_j \neq \beta_j$ would imply that either $\mathsf{n}(a_j) \mid_{\mathcal{S}(P)} s$ or $\mathsf{n}(a_j) \mid_{\mathcal{S}(P)} s'$, which contradicts Proposition 5.7. Hence $\alpha_j = \beta_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, k$, which means that $s' = s$ and so $u' = u$. As a result, x is a molecule with unique factorization given by $z_s + z_u$, where $\mathsf{Z}(s) = \{z_s\}$ and $\mathsf{Z}(u) = \{z_u\}$. □

6. Acknowledgments

While working on this paper, the first author was supported by the UC Berkeley Mathematics Department Fellowship.

References

[1] S. T. Chapman, P. A. García-Sánchez, D. Llena, A. Malyshev, and D. Steinberg: *On the delta set and the Betti elements of a BF-monoid*, Arabian Journal of Mathematics **1** (2012), 53–61.

[2] M. Delgado, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. Morais: "numericalsgps": a GAP package on numerical semigroups, http://gap-system.org/Packages/numericalsgps.html

[3] P. A. García-Sánchez and I. Ojeda: *Uniquely presented finitely generated commutative monoids*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **248** (2010), 91–105.

[4] P. A. García-Sánchez and J. C. Rosales: *Finitely Generated Commutative Monoids*, Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York, 1999.

[5] P. A. García-Sánchez and J. C. Rosales: *Numerical Semigroups*, Developments in Mathematics, vol. 20, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2009.

[6] P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García, and J. C. Rosales: *k-factorized elements in telescopic numerical semigroup*, Proceedings of the Chapel Hill Conference on Arithmetical Properties of Commutative Rings and Monoids, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 241, 260–271. Edited by S. T. Chapman and Published in 2005 by CRC Press of Taylor and Francis Group, ISBN 0-8247-2327-9.

[7] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch: *Non-unique factorizations: A survey*, in Multiplicative Ideal Theory in Commutative Algebra, ed. by J. W. Brewer, S. Glaz, W. Heinzer, and B. Olberding (Springer, New York, 2006), 207–226.

[8] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch: *Non-Unique Factorizations: Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2006.

[9] F. Gotti: *On the atomic structure of Puiseux monoids*, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications **16** (2017) 20pp.

[10] F. Gotti and M. Gotti: *Atomicity and boundedness of monotone Puiseux monoids*, submitted [arXiv:1608.04044]

[11] P. A. Grillet: *Commutative Semigroups*, Advances in Mathematics, vol. 2, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 2001.

[12] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels: *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

Mathematics Department, UC Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720
E-mail address: felixgotti@berkeley.edu

Mathematics Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611
E-mail address: marlycormar@ufl.edu